

CORPORATE PRACTICE OF MEDICINE DOCTRINE 50 STATE SURVEY SUMMARY

Mary H. Michal, J.D.
Meg S.L. Pekarske, J.D.
Matthew K. McManus, J.D.
Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren s.c.
22 East Mifflin Street, Suite 600
Madison, WI 53701-6239
(800) 728-6239
mmichal@reinhartlaw.com
mpekarsk@reinhartlaw.com
mmcmanus@reinhartlaw.com

This Legal Guidance section summarizes a state's CPOM related laws, regulations, case law and other guidance that existed as of September 2006. This section does not distinguish between states that enforce their CPOM prohibitions versus those that do not. To gather further information regarding your particular state's enforcement (or lack thereof) of any CPOM prohibition, hospices and palliative care programs may wish to contact their state hospice and palliative care organization to determine whether it has researched CPOM in the state.

THIS SURVEY DOES NOT CONSTITUTE LEGAL ADVICE NOR SERVE AS A SUBSTITUTE FOR LEGAL ADVICE

Prepared in September 2006 by The Hospice and Palliative Care Practice Group of Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren s.c. with funding from the National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization (NHPCO) and the Center to Advance Palliative Care (CAPC).

CORPORATE PRACTICE OF MEDICINE DOCTRINE 50 STATE SURVEY SUMMARY

Mary H. Michal, J.D. Meg S.L. Pekarske, J.D. Matthew K. McManus, J.D. Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren s.c.

Many states prohibit what is generally referred to as the "corporate practice of medicine" ("CPOM"). Although they vary from state to state, CPOM prohibitions generally do not allow a business corporation to practice medicine or employ a physician to provide professional medical services. CPOM prohibitions may be found in state statutes or regulations or they may develop from court decisions or state Attorney General Opinions. Oftentimes, CPOM prohibitions include exceptions. A typical exception allows hospitals to employ physicians because hospitals are formed for the specific purpose of treating patients and providing health care services and are themselves licensed entities. Also, most states allow physicians to provide medical services through some form of a professional corporation or service corporation, but generally each shareholder of the corporation must be a licensed physician.

It is important for hospices and palliative care programs to understand their CPOM laws, as it will determine what type of relationship they may have with physicians (i.e., employment versus independent contractor). For example, a hospice or palliative care program that employs, as a W-2 worker, a physician to provide medical services in a state that has a CPOM prohibition may be placing the physician at risk. The following is a fifty-state survey which summarizes the laws, regulations and legal guidance in each state regarding corporate practice.

In reviewing this summary, it is important to note that some states may have CPOM prohibitions that are not enforced. The lack of enforcement could stem from any number of reasons, from policy grounds to lack of funding necessary for effective oversight. In

¹ Note that in states where employment of physicians is not permitted or is questionable under the CPOM doctrine, hospices and palliative care programs should be able to enter into an independent contractor arrangement with a physician to provide medical direction or other professional medical services.

addition, CPOM prohibitions were often adopted by states in a very different era of medical practice, and almost certainly did not contemplate the CPOM prohibition as it would affect hospices and palliative care programs. This survey does not distinguish between states that enforce their CPOM prohibitions versus those that do not. To gather further information regarding your particular state's enforcement (or lack thereof) of any CPOM prohibition, hospices and palliative care programs may wish to contact their state association to determine whether it has researched CPOM in the state. Programs may also contact the National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization and/or the Center for Advancement of Palliative Care for further guidance.

Please remember that the chart is not legal advice, and it is not meant to replace legal advice. The chart only represents a snapshot, as of September 2006, of the CPOM prohibitions (if any) found in each state. As discussed previously, we have made no attempt to determine how an apparent CPOM prohibition is enforced in each state. As this area of law is complicated and state guidance is often contradictory, we recommend that programs consult with an attorney familiar with any CPOM doctrine in their state. Each state's association may be able to provide a list of attorneys with experience in advising hospices and palliative care programs in these matters.

State	Brief Statement of Law	Summary of Legal Guidance*
Alabama	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	The state does not prohibit a physician from working for a corporation as long as the corporation does not exercise
	An Attorney General Opinion appears to allow a	control over the physician's independent medical judgment.
	corporation to employ a physician to provide medical	See 2001 Ala. Op. Att'y Gen. 089.
	services if the corporation does not interfere with the	
	physician's independent medical judgment.	
Alaska	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	No additional guidance is available.
Arizona	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	A corporation may not practice optometry through employing a licensed optometrist or through any arrangement that
	Case law appears to prohibit corporations from	subjects the optometrist to the corporation's direction and
	employing physicians to provide medical services.	control. See State ex re. Bd. of Optometry v. Sears, Roebuck & Co., 427 P.2d 126 (Ariz. 1967).
		Corporations are not endowed with the necessary moral and intellectual properties for optometry and dentistry. See Funk
		<i>Jewelry Co. v. State ex rel. La Prade</i> , 50 P.2d 945 (Ariz. 1935).

MW\1349194

^{*}This Legal Guidance section summarizes a state's CPOM related laws, regulations, case law and other guidance that existed as of September 2006. This section does not distinguish between states that enforce their CPOM prohibitions versus those that do not. To gather further information regarding your particular state's enforcement (or lack thereof) of any CPOM prohibition, hospices and palliative care programs may wish to contact their state association to determine whether it has researched CPOM in the state.

State	Brief Statement of Law	Summary of Legal Guidance*
Arkansas	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM. An Attorney General Opinion appears to prohibit	A limited liability company may be organized for any lawful purpose, including the performance of professional services. However, a limited liability company that will engage in the
	corporations from employing physicians.	practice of medicine must register with the Arkansas State Medical Board and comply with the Medical Corporation Act. See Ark. Code. Ann. § 4-32 (2006). (Note: Only licensed physicians may be officers, directors or shareholders of a medical corporation.)
		A nonphysician-owned corporation may not employ physicians for profit. Three entities may practice medicine: (1) medical corporations if all shareholders and directors are licensed physicians; (2) hospital or medical service corporations; and (3) health maintenance organizations. See 1994 Op. Ark. Att'y Gen. No. 94-204.

^{*}This Legal Guidance section summarizes a state's CPOM related laws, regulations, case law and other guidance that existed as of September 2006. This section does not distinguish between states that enforce their CPOM prohibitions versus those that do not. To gather further information regarding your particular state's enforcement (or lack thereof) of any CPOM prohibition, hospices and palliative care programs may wish to contact their state association to determine whether it has researched CPOM in the state.

State	Brief Statement of Law	Summary of Legal Guidance*
California	State law and case law appear to prohibit corporations from employing physicians to provide medical services, with limited exceptions.	Corporations and other artificial legal entities shall have no professional rights, privileges or powers. See California Business and Professions Code, Section 2400.
		A private nonprofit university medical school may charge for professional services rendered by licensees who hold academic appointments on the faculty of the university. See California Business and Professions Code, Section 2401.
		The following entities may charge for professional services rendered by employed licensees: (1) a nonprofit medical research corporation; (2) a narcotic treatment program; and (3) a hospital owned and operated by a health care district. See California Business and Professions Code, Section 2401.
		Supreme Court of California reaffirmed the state's long-standing prohibition against CPOM. <u>See People v.</u> <i>Cole</i> , 135 P.3d 669, 671 (Cal. 2006).

^{*}This Legal Guidance section summarizes a state's CPOM related laws, regulations, case law and other guidance that existed as of September 2006. This section does not distinguish between states that enforce their CPOM prohibitions versus those that do not. To gather further information regarding your particular state's enforcement (or lack thereof) of any CPOM prohibition, hospices and palliative care programs may wish to contact their state association to determine whether it has researched CPOM in the state.

State	Brief Statement of Law	Summary of Legal Guidance*
Colorado	State statutes appear to prohibit corporations from	Corporations shall not practice medicine. See Colo. Rev.
	employing physicians to provide medical services, with	Stat. § 12-36-134(1)(g)(7) (2005).
	limited exceptions.	
		It is unprofessional conduct to practice medicine with any
		corporation other than a professional service corporation.
		This does not create an exception to the CPOM doctrine. <u>See</u> Colo. Rev. Stat. § 12-36-117(m) (2005).
		Colo. Rev. Stat. § 12-30-117(III) (2003).
		A hospital may employ physicians, although the hospital may
		not exert control over the employed physician's independent
		judgment. See Colo. Rev. Stat. § 25-3-103.7.
Connecticut	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	A court upheld the suspension of a dentist's license because
		the dentist worked at a dental laboratory owned by a
	Case law indicates that non-licensed individuals may not	hygienist; the hygienist's interactions with customers violated
	employ dentists to provide dental services. No similar	the dental practice act. See Obuchowski v. Dental Comm'n,
	guidance related to physicians employed by	178 A.2d 537, 541 (Conn. 1962).
Delaware	corporations.	No additional guidance is available
District of	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	No additional guidance is available.
Columbia	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	No additional guidance is available.
Florida	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	A corporation may not practice medicine directly or
Tionida	State statutes and regulations do not address CI OWI.	indirectly by hiring licensed members of a profession to do
	An Attorney General Opinion appears to prohibit	professional work. See Fla. Op. Att'y Gen. No. 055-71 (Mar.
	corporations from employing physicians to provide	25, 1955).
	medical services.	

^{*}This Legal Guidance section summarizes a state's CPOM related laws, regulations, case law and other guidance that existed as of September 2006. This section does not distinguish between states that enforce their CPOM prohibitions versus those that do not. To gather further information regarding your particular state's enforcement (or lack thereof) of any CPOM prohibition, hospices and palliative care programs may wish to contact their state association to determine whether it has researched CPOM in the state.

Brief Statement of Law	Summary of Legal Guidance*
State law is unclear with respect to CPOM. Although a statute that prohibited a physician from being employed by a corporation was repealed in 1982, recent case law indicates that a corporation may not employ a physician to provide medical services.	Physicians may be disciplined or refused a license if they knowingly aid an unlicensed person or entity in practicing medicine. See Ga. Code § C24 43-34-37(a)(9) (2005). A court indicated that Ga. Code § 43-34-37 still does not allow a corporation to employ a professional to perform his or her profession on behalf of the corporation. See Clower v. Orthalliance, Inc., 337 F. Supp. 2d 1322, 1330 (N.D. Ga. 2004).
State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	No additional guidance is available.
State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM. An Attorney General Opinion appears to prohibit hospitals from employing physicians to provide medical services.	A hospital may not practice medicine or surgery, even though it may own or provide facilities for such activities. There must be a direct relationship between the patient and the medical professional. See Idaho Op. Att'y Gen. (May 26, 1954).
State statutes allow a hospital or hospital affiliate corporation to employ physicians to provide medical services. Case law appears to prohibit unlicensed corporations from employing physicians to provide medical services; however, case law allows licensed hospitals to employ physicians because licensed hospitals possess legislative authority to provide medical services.	Licensed hospitals and hospital affiliates may employ licensed physicians if they meet certain requirements. See 210 Ill. Comp. Stat. 85/10.8 (2005). Licensed hospitals may employ physicians and practice medicine. See Berlin v. Sarah Bush Lincoln Health Ctr., 688 N.E.2d 106, 113 (Ill. 1997). The hospital exception to the prohibition of the CPOM doctrine is very narrow. The court refused to extend it to a non-profit health care institute (not a hospital) that employed a physician. See Carter-Shields, M.D. v. Alton Health Inst.,
	State law is unclear with respect to CPOM. Although a statute that prohibited a physician from being employed by a corporation was repealed in 1982, recent case law indicates that a corporation may not employ a physician to provide medical services. State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM. State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM. An Attorney General Opinion appears to prohibit hospitals from employing physicians to provide medical services. State statutes allow a hospital or hospital affiliate corporation to employ physicians to provide medical services. Case law appears to prohibit unlicensed corporations from employing physicians to provide medical services; however, case law allows licensed hospitals to employ physicians because licensed hospitals possess legislative

^{*}This Legal Guidance section summarizes a state's CPOM related laws, regulations, case law and other guidance that existed as of September 2006. This section does not distinguish between states that enforce their CPOM prohibitions versus those that do not. To gather further information regarding your particular state's enforcement (or lack thereof) of any CPOM prohibition, hospices and palliative care programs may wish to contact their state association to determine whether it has researched CPOM in the state.

State	Brief Statement of Law	Summary of Legal Guidance*
Indiana	State regulations appear to allow certain entities or	An employment or other contractual relationship between the
	professionals to employ physicians to provide medical	following entities and a licensed physician does not constitute
	services if they do not direct or control the judgment of	the unlawful practice of medicine: (1) a hospital; (2) a
	the licensed physician.	physician; (3) a psychiatric hospital; (4) a health maintenance
		organization; (5) a health facility; (6) a dentist; (7) a
		registered or licensed practical nurse; (8) a midwife; (9) an
		optometrist; (10) a podiatrist; (11) a chiropractor; (12) a
		physical therapist; or (13) a psychologist. See Ind. Code 25-
		22.5-1-2(c) (2006).
Iowa	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	After reviewing Iowa case law, the Attorney General
		determined that the question of whether the employment of a
	Modifying an earlier opinion, an Attorney General	physician violates the CPOM doctrine turns on the degree of
	Opinion appears to allow a corporation to employ a	dominion or control exercised over the physician and is
	physician to provide medical services if the corporation	decided on an individual case basis. <u>See</u> Iowa Op. Att'y Gen.
	does not interfere with the physician's independent	91-7-1 (July 12, 1991).
	medical judgment.	
Kansas	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	Licensed physicians may be employed by licensed hospitals
		because such contracts do not violate the public health, safety
	Case law indicates that a corporation may not employ a	or welfare. Hospitals are licensed healthcare facilities and as
	physician to provide medical services. However, case	such are subject to regulation and oversight. See St. Francis
	law also suggests that a hospital may employ physicians	Reg'l Med. Ctr. v. Weiss, 869 P.2d 606, 618 (Kan. 1994).
	to provide medical services because it is a licensed	
	facility subject to regulation and oversight.	General corporations may not employ physicians. <u>See</u> Early
		Detection Ctr. v. Wilson, 811 P.2d 860, 877 (Kan. 1991).

^{*}This Legal Guidance section summarizes a state's CPOM related laws, regulations, case law and other guidance that existed as of September 2006. This section does not distinguish between states that enforce their CPOM prohibitions versus those that do not. To gather further information regarding your particular state's enforcement (or lack thereof) of any CPOM prohibition, hospices and palliative care programs may wish to contact their state association to determine whether it has researched CPOM in the state.

State	Brief Statement of Law	Summary of Legal Guidance*
Kentucky	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM. A physician licensing board opinion appears to allow a hospital to employ a physician to provide medical services. However, case law adopts a CPOM prohibition.	Courts have adopted the CPOM doctrine. See Kendall v. Beiling, 175 S.W.2d 489 (Ky. 1943); Johnson v. Stumbo, 126 S.W.2d 165 (Ky. 1938). Even though court decisions adopting the CPOM doctrine have not been overturned, the Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure indicates that it is acceptable for physicians to be full-time employees of hospitals. See Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure, Private Opinion Letter, September, 1993. The Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure states that a forprofit corporation may hire a physician. See Kentucky Board
Louisiana	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM. An Attorney General Opinion appears to allow a corporation to employ a physician to provide medical services if the corporation does not interfere with the physician's independent medical judgment.	of Medical Licensure, February, 1995. Corporate employment of a physician is not by itself a violation of the Louisiana Medical Practice Act. A physician employment arrangement is lawful if it does not interfere with the physician-patient relationship. See Louisiana State Board of Medical Examiners Statement of Position "Employment of Physician By Corporation Other Than A Professional Medical Corporation", September 24, 1992, reviewed March 21, 2001.
Maine	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	In an opinion of the Maine Board of Licensure in Medicine, the Board stated that each medical license holder is individually responsible for his or her own conduct regardless of any employment relationship. See Opinion of the Board of Licensure in Medicine (Nov. 2, 1992).

^{*}This Legal Guidance section summarizes a state's CPOM related laws, regulations, case law and other guidance that existed as of September 2006. This section does not distinguish between states that enforce their CPOM prohibitions versus those that do not. To gather further information regarding your particular state's enforcement (or lack thereof) of any CPOM prohibition, hospices and palliative care programs may wish to contact their state association to determine whether it has researched CPOM in the state.

State	Brief Statement of Law	Summary of Legal Guidance*
Maryland	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	The Maryland Board of Physician Quality Assurance states that a corporation may not employ a physician except in the
	A Maryland Board of Physician Quality Assurance	case of: (1) a professional service corporation; (2) a hospital;
	prohibits corporations from employing physicians to	or (3) a health maintenance organization.
	provide medical services, with limited exceptions.	
Massachusetts	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	The Massachusetts Supreme Court has adopted the CPOM doctrine prohibiting corporate employment of physicians.
	Case law appears to prohibit corporations from	See McMurdo v. Getter, 10 N.E.2d 139, 142 (Mass. 1937).
	employing physicians to provide medical services.	
Michigan	State law appears to only allow non-profit corporations to employ physicians to provide medical services.	A general business corporation may not practice a learned profession, because the Professional Service Corporation Act and Limited Liability Company Act specifically govern the formation of an entity to practice a learned profession. See Mich. Comp. Laws § 450.1251 (2006).
		Non-profit corporations (including hospitals) may employ physicians to provide medical services. <u>See MI Op. Att'y Gen. No. 6770 (Sept. 17, 1993).</u>
Minnesota	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	The Attorney General has reinforced the long-standing position that a for-profit corporation may not employ a
	An Attorney General Opinion appears to only allow non-	physician in Minnesota. <u>See</u> MN Op. Att'y Gen. No. 92-B-11
	profit corporations to employ physicians to provide medical services.	(Oct. 5, 1955).

^{*}This Legal Guidance section summarizes a state's CPOM related laws, regulations, case law and other guidance that existed as of September 2006. This section does not distinguish between states that enforce their CPOM prohibitions versus those that do not. To gather further information regarding your particular state's enforcement (or lack thereof) of any CPOM prohibition, hospices and palliative care programs may wish to contact their state association to determine whether it has researched CPOM in the state.

State	Brief Statement of Law	Summary of Legal Guidance*
Mississippi	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	Mississippi abandoned the CPOM doctrine and now adheres
		to the position that as long as there is no interference with the
	A physician licensing body opinion appears to allow a	physician-patient relationship, then various forms of business
	corporation to employ a physician to provide medical	relationships with physicians are permissible. <u>See</u>
	services if the corporation does not interfere with the	Mississippi State Board of Medical Licensure, "Internal
	physician's independent medical judgment.	Policy Regarding Corporate Practice of Medicine," revised
		May 16, 1996, and September 20, 2001.
Missouri	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	The Attorney General has stated that it is allowable for a corporation to contract with a licensed physician to furnish
	An Attorney General Opinion appears to allow a	medical services. See Mo. Op. Att'y Gen. No. 8 (Mar. 15,
	corporation to employ a physician to provide medical	1962).
	services.	
Montana	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	No additional guidance is available.
Nebraska	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	A corporation contracting with a physician did not constitute the practice of medicine or violate the law or public policy of
	Case law indicates that a corporation employing a	Nebraska. See State Electro-Med. Inst. v. Platner, 103 N.W.
	physician to provide medical services is not practicing	1079, 1082 (Neb. 1905).
	medicine.	1079, 1082 (Neb. 1903).
Nevada	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	The Attorney General has stated that only a professional corporation or association may practice medicine. No
	An Attorney General Opinion appears to only allow non-	general, for-profit corporation may practice medicine. <u>See</u>
	profit corporations to employ physicians to provide medical services.	Nev. Op. Att'y Gen. No. 77-219 (Oct. 3, 1977).
New	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	No additional guidance is available.
Hampshire	-	_

^{*}This Legal Guidance section summarizes a state's CPOM related laws, regulations, case law and other guidance that existed as of September 2006. This section does not distinguish between states that enforce their CPOM prohibitions versus those that do not. To gather further information regarding your particular state's enforcement (or lack thereof) of any CPOM prohibition, hospices and palliative care programs may wish to contact their state association to determine whether it has researched CPOM in the state.

State	Brief Statement of Law	Summary of Legal Guidance*
New Jersey	State regulations appear to prohibit corporations from employing physicians to provide medical services, with limited exceptions.	A physician may offer health care services as an employee of a general business corporation only in one of the following situations:
		(1) the corporation is licensed by the New Jersey Department of Health as an HMO, hospital, long or short-term care facility, ambulatory care facility or other type of health care facility or health care provider; (2) the corporation is not in the business of offering treatment services but maintains a medical clinic for the purpose of providing first aid; (3) the corporation is a non-profit corporation sponsored by a union, social or religious or fraternal organization providing health care services to members only; (4) the corporation is an accredited educational institution that maintains a medical clinic for services for students and faculty; or (5) the corporation is licensed by the State Department of
		Insurance as an insurance carrier. See N.J. Admin. Code 13, § 35-6.16(f) (2006).
New Mexico	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM. An Attorney General Opinion appears to allow a corporation to employ a physician to provide medical	Corporations organized and controlled by non-physicians may provide medical services to the public through employed physicians, unless laypeople control medical decisions. See New Mexico Att'y Gen. Op. No. 97-39 (July 30, 1987).
	services if the corporation does not interfere with the physician's independent medical judgment.	

^{*}This Legal Guidance section summarizes a state's CPOM related laws, regulations, case law and other guidance that existed as of September 2006. This section does not distinguish between states that enforce their CPOM prohibitions versus those that do not. To gather further information regarding your particular state's enforcement (or lack thereof) of any CPOM prohibition, hospices and palliative care programs may wish to contact their state association to determine whether it has researched CPOM in the state.

State	Brief Statement of Law	Summary of Legal Guidance*
New York	State laws appear to prohibit corporations from employing physicians to provide medical services, with limited exceptions.	Only a person licensed or otherwise authorized under this article shall practice medicine. See N.Y. Educ. Law § 6522 (2006).
		A non-profit medical or dental expense indemnity corporation or a hospital service corporation may employ licensed physicians. <u>See</u> N.Y. Educ. Law § 6527 (2006).
		The use of the word "person" in the physician licensing statute means that a corporation may not practice medicine. Corporations may not employ licensed professionals to practice medicine. See People v. John H. Woodbury Dermatological Inst., 85 N.E. 697 (N.Y. 1908).
North Carolina	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM. An Attorney General Opinion prohibits corporations from employing physicians to provide medical services, with limited exceptions.	North Carolina prohibits a private corporation from practicing medicine and from employing physicians. CPOM prohibition does not extend to non-profit or public hospitals. See 33 N.C. Att'y Gen. Rep. 43 (1955).
North Dakota	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM. An Attorney General Advisory Letter appears to prohibit a non-professional corporation from practicing medicine or employing physicians to provide medical care.	A corporation is not qualified to be licensed to practice medicine. The rule appears to prevent the practice of a learned profession by a non-professional corporation. See N.D. Att'y Gen. Adv. Ltr. (October 23, 1990).

^{*}This Legal Guidance section summarizes a state's CPOM related laws, regulations, case law and other guidance that existed as of September 2006. This section does not distinguish between states that enforce their CPOM prohibitions versus those that do not. To gather further information regarding your particular state's enforcement (or lack thereof) of any CPOM prohibition, hospices and palliative care programs may wish to contact their state association to determine whether it has researched CPOM in the state.

State	Brief Statement of Law	Summary of Legal Guidance*
Ohio	State regulations appear to allow corporations to practice a profession if the corporation does not interfere with the professional's independent judgment.	A corporation can practice a profession, but cannot control the professional clinical judgment exercised by a physician. See Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 1701 (2005).
	An Attorney General Opinion prohibits corporations from employing physicians to provide medical services.	Attorney General stated that any corporation that charges and collects a fee from patients for medical treatment performed by licensed physicians as employees of the corporation is unlawfully engaged in the practice of medicine. <u>See</u> Ohio Op. Att'y Gen. No. 52-1751 (1952).
Oklahoma	State statutes appear to allow hospitals to employ physicians to practice medicine without being regarded as itself practicing medicine.	A hospital or related institution organized and operated for such purpose may employ one or more persons who are licensed to practice medicine in this state without being regarded as itself practicing medicine. See Okla. St. Ann. tit. 59, § 492 (2005).
Oregon	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM. An Attorney General Opinion appears to allow hospitals to employ physicians to provide medical services because hospitals are licensed to provide medical services and may therefore employ professionals to provide such services.	It is settled law in Oregon that a corporation cannot practice a profession, except to the extent that "professional corporations" or hospital corporations are authorized to do so. See Op. Or. Att'y. Gen. No. 5689 (1984). Attorney General stated that a hospital corporation may lawfully employ a physical therapist to perform the medical services that he or she is trained and licensed provide, just as it may employ physicians and nurses. See Op. Or. Att'y. Gen. No 7230 (Oct. 28, 1975).

^{*}This Legal Guidance section summarizes a state's CPOM related laws, regulations, case law and other guidance that existed as of September 2006. This section does not distinguish between states that enforce their CPOM prohibitions versus those that do not. To gather further information regarding your particular state's enforcement (or lack thereof) of any CPOM prohibition, hospices and palliative care programs may wish to contact their state association to determine whether it has researched CPOM in the state.

State	Brief Statement of Law	Summary of Legal Guidance*
Pennsylvania	A recently passed state statute appears to allow health care facilities, which includes hospices, to employ physicians to provide medical services. Case law appears to prohibit corporations from employing physicians to provide medical services.	A health care practitioner may practice the healing arts as an employee or independent contractor of a health care facility or health care provider or an affiliate of a health care facility or health care provider established to provide health care. See 35 P.S. § 448.817a (2006). A health care facility is defined as any health care facility providing clinically related health services, including a hospice. See 35 P.S. § 448.103. A court held that a licensed practitioner may not practice among the public as a servant of an unlicensed person or a corporation. See Neill v. Gimbel Bros., Inc., 199 A. 178, 182 (Pa. 1938).
Rhode Island	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	No additional guidance is available.
South Carolina	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM. Case law appears to prohibit corporations from employing physicians to provide medical services.	South Carolina has a common law prohibition against the CPOM. See Baird v. Charleston County, 511 S.E.2d 69, 78 (S.C. 1999). A corporation may not engage in the practice of medicine even through licensed employees. See Wadsworth v. McRae Drug Co., 28 S.E.2d 417, 419 (S.C. 1943).

^{*}This Legal Guidance section summarizes a state's CPOM related laws, regulations, case law and other guidance that existed as of September 2006. This section does not distinguish between states that enforce their CPOM prohibitions versus those that do not. To gather further information regarding your particular state's enforcement (or lack thereof) of any CPOM prohibition, hospices and palliative care programs may wish to contact their state association to determine whether it has researched CPOM in the state.

State	Brief Statement of Law	Summary of Legal Guidance*
South Dakota	State law appears to prohibit a corporation from practicing medicine through employed physicians if the corporation gains profit from the physician's practice of medicine.	Public policy prohibits corporations from practicing medicine. Nonetheless, a corporation is not practicing medicine when it hires a physician if the corporation does not, in any manner, influence or diminish the physician's independent judgment, or gain profit from the practice of medicine itself. See S.D. Codified Laws Ann. § 36-4-8.1 (2006).
Tennessee	State regulations appear to allow a corporation to employ a physician to provide medical services if the corporation does not interfere with the physician's independent medical judgment.	The practice of medicine by non-professional corporations is allowed if the employment relationship between the physician and the corporation is evidenced by a written contract with a job description and with language that does not restrict the physician from exercising independent medical judgment in diagnosing and treating patients. If so, then the corporation shall not be deemed to be engaged in the practice of medicine. See Tenn. Code Ann. § 63-6-204(c) (2006).
Texas	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM. Case law appears to prohibit corporations from employing physicians to provide medical services.	It is a violation of the doctrine for a corporation comprised of lay persons to hire licensed physicians to treat patients and receive fees for these services. <u>See Gupta v. E. Idaho Tumor Institute</u> , <i>Inc.</i> , 140 S.W.3d 747, 752 (Tex. App. 2004).
Utah	State regulations appear to allow a corporation to employ a physician to provide medical services if the corporation does not interfere with the physician's independent medical judgment.	An individual licensed physician may be employed by another person. See Utah Code Ann. § 58-67-802(1)(b) (2006). Any person who manages and has a financial interest in a licensed physician's professional practice may not substantially interfere with a licensee's practice of medicine. See Utah Code Ann. § 58-67-501(1)(c) (2006).

^{*}This Legal Guidance section summarizes a state's CPOM related laws, regulations, case law and other guidance that existed as of September 2006. This section does not distinguish between states that enforce their CPOM prohibitions versus those that do not. To gather further information regarding your particular state's enforcement (or lack thereof) of any CPOM prohibition, hospices and palliative care programs may wish to contact their state association to determine whether it has researched CPOM in the state.

State	Brief Statement of Law	Summary of Legal Guidance*
Vermont	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	No additional guidance is available.
Virginia	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	There is no court decision or statute in Virginia adopting the "corporate practice of medicine" doctrine. <u>See</u> 1992 Va. Op.
	An Attorney General Opinion indicates that a corporation may employ a physician to provide medical services.	Att'y. Gen. 147.
Washington	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	Neither a corporation nor any unlicensed person or entity may engage, through licensed employees, in the practice of
	Case law appears to prohibit corporations from employing physicians to provide medical services.	the learned professions. <u>See Morelli v. Ehsan</u> , 756 P.2d 129, 131 (1988).
West Virginia	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	A corporation cannot, under any circumstances, employ a licensed physician to practice medicine on its behalf without
	An Attorney General Opinion appears to prohibit	violating the law. See 46 Op. W. Va. Att'y Gen. 202 (1955).
	corporations from employing physicians to provide	
	medical services.	

^{*}This Legal Guidance section summarizes a state's CPOM related laws, regulations, case law and other guidance that existed as of September 2006. This section does not distinguish between states that enforce their CPOM prohibitions versus those that do not. To gather further information regarding your particular state's enforcement (or lack thereof) of any CPOM prohibition, hospices and palliative care programs may wish to contact their state association to determine whether it has researched CPOM in the state.

State	Brief Statement of Law	Summary of Legal Guidance*
Wisconsin	State statutes prohibit corporations from splitting fees with physicians and require that bills for physician services be separate from bills for any other services. An Attorney General Opinion focusing on for-profit corporations states that such corporations may not employ physicians to provide medical services.	Except as authorized under Title 18 or 19, an individual statement or account of charges for physicians services that is being sent to a patient directly must be "distinct and separate from any statement or account by any physician or other person, who has rendered or who may render any medical surgical or any similar service whatsoever, or who has given or may give any medical, surgical or similar advice or assistance to such patient, physician, corporation, or to any other institution or organization of any kind". See Wis. Stat. 448.08(2).DOUBLE CHECK QUOTE For-profit general business corporations are prohibited from practicing medicine through employed licensed professionals because: (1) state statutes only permit individuals, not corporations, to obtain licenses to practice medicine; (2) medical professionals cannot split fees with a corporation in exchange for referrals; and (3) CPOM raises public policy
Wyoming	State statutes and regulations do not address CPOM.	concerns. See 75 Op. Wis. Att'y Gen 200 (1986). No additional guidance is available.

^{*}This Legal Guidance section summarizes a state's CPOM related laws, regulations, case law and other guidance that existed as of September 2006. This section does not distinguish between states that enforce their CPOM prohibitions versus those that do not. To gather further information regarding your particular state's enforcement (or lack thereof) of any CPOM prohibition, hospices and palliative care programs may wish to contact their state association to determine whether it has researched CPOM in the state.